5 PRESSURE AND LOG SUMMARY

5.1 Petrophysical evaluation 15/12-4

$\underline{\texttt{Cretaceous}}_{\texttt{Fm}}$

The Cretaceous formation was logged with the following tools:

- 1. DIFL/BHC ACOUSTIC-SP-GR-CAL
- 2. CDL/CNL-GR
- 3. DLL/MLL-GR
- 4. FMT
- 5. HR DIPLOG
- 6. COREGUN

A petrophysical evaluation has been done with the following input parameters:

Interval, m RKB:	2475 - 2676
Formation temp. ^O C:	99
R fm at 99 ⁰ C, ohm m:	0.078
R _w , ohm m:	0.040
R _{sh} , ohm m:	1.80
PHIN _{ma} , fraction:	0.00
PHIN _{sh} , fraction:	0.23
RHOB _{ma} , g/cc: RHOBsh, g/cc:	2.71 2.50
RHOB _{f1} , g/cc:	1.01
GR _{max} :	68
GR _{min} :	4
m:	2
n:	2
a:	1
Shale exp:	1.6

Hydrocarbon corr. factor: 0.1

A shaly sand model with the GR log as shale indicator was used in the evaluation. The porosities are determined from the CDL and CNL logs corrected for shale and hydrocarbons.

- 74 -

Water saturation was calculated using the North Sea equation.

From logs and cores hydrocarbons were seen in the uppermost part of the Cretaceous chalk. Core analysis and log analysis indicates very poor reservoir properties in this chalk. The water saturation is high (60 - 80 %) and the permeability is extremely low (0.01 - 0.5 md).

The results from the log analysis are listed below:

Interval evaluated, m RKB:	2475 - 2675
Top oil zone, m RKB:	2491.5
High oil zone, m*:	9.5
Av. porosity*:	0.15
Av. water saturation*:	0.74
Av. shale content*:	0.11

The results are taken from the hydrocarbon interval
 2491.5 - 2501 m RKB with not cut off values for Fw
 and Ø.

Jurassic Fm

The Jurassic formation was logged with the following tools:

- 1. DIFL/BHC-GR-SP-CAL
- 2. CDL/CNL-GR
- 3. DLL/MLL-GR
- 4. FMT
- 5. HR DIPLOG
- 6. COREGUN
- 7. VSP

A petrophysical evaluation has been done with the following input parameters:

Interval, m RKB 2875-2911 2911-2951 2951-3075 Formation temp.^OC: 120 120 120 Rmf at 99^OC, ohm m: 0.047 0.047 0.047

R, ohm m:	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145
R _{sh} , ohm m:	2.0	2.0	2.0
PHIN _{ma} , fraction:	0.035	0.035	0.035
PHIN _{sh} , fraction:	0.23	0.23	0.23
RHOB _{ma} , g/cc:	2.68	2.65	2.65
RHOB _{sh} , g/cc:	2.50	2.50	2.50
RHOB _{fl} , g/cc:	1.01	1.01	1.01
GR :	100	100	100
GR _{min} :	35	32	12
m:	2	2	2
n:	2	2	2
a:	1	1	1
Shale exp:	1.6	1.6	1.6

Hydrocarbon corr. factor: 0.1

A shaly sand model with the GR log as shale indicator was used in the evaluation. The porosities are determined from the CDL and CNL logs corrected for shale and hydrocarbons.

Water saturation was calculated using the North Sea equation.

A 1.5 meter oil leg was seen in the Jurassic sandstone. The calculated water saturation is probably too high because the thinness of the oil and bed effects are affecting the resistivity logs. No water was produced back when taking a segregated oil sample at 2912 m RKB.

On the logs and oil/water contact is seen at 2913 m RKB with a transition zone down to 2915.5 m RKB. This is confirmed by the FMT log were an oil sample were taken at 2912 m RKB and a water sample, containing some small amounts of oil, at 2913.5 m RKB.

The results from the log evaluation are listed below:

Top reservoir:	2911.5	
Oil/water contact, m RKB:	2913	
Interval evaluated:	2875-2911.5	2911.5-3075

• 50
60.5
.25
.23
.04
.10
.56

```
Cut off values applied: v_{sh} > 0.40
Ø < 0.10
Sw > 0.70
```

5.2 FMT summary

<u>Cretaceous_Fm</u>

One FMT run was made in the Cretaceous formation. No pressure points out of 19 attempts were successful due to seal failure and very low permeability in the formation. One attempt to get sample at 2439.5 m RKB failed due to tight formation. The sample chamber showed to be empty.

Uncorrected pressure readings are listed below:

Test	Depth	Formation	Remarks
no.	(m RKB)	pressure (KPa)	
1	2482.5	-	Tight formation
2	2484.5	-	No seal
3	2483	-	No seal
4	2489	-	No seal
5	2494.5	-	No seal
6	2498	-	No seal
7	2324.5	29619	Supercharge
8	2483	-	No seal
9	2505	1315	Low permeability,
			press. incr. very
			slow.
10	2538	32819	Supercharge

11	2560	-	No seal
12	2570.5		No seal
13	2604.9	791	Very low perm., press.
			increasing very slow
14	2633	977	Very low perm., press.
			increasing very slow
15	2653.5	1660	Very low perm., press.
			increasing very slow
16	2666	-	No seal
17	2488.5	998	Very low perm., press.
			increasing very slow
18	2483	32350	Supercharge, attempt
			to get sample
19	2438.5	2860	Very low perm., press.
			increasing very slow

Jurassic Fm

Four FMT runs were made in the Jurassic formation.

Run no. 1:

17 out of 23 attempts to get pressure points were successful. One segregated oil sample was taken at 2912 m RKB. Some pressure was lost on the 2 3/4 gallon chamber due to a leaking o-ring.

The 2 3/4 gallon chamber was drained offshore:

```
Opening pressure: 1480 KPa

H_2S: 0 %

Recovery, Gas: 0.06 Sm<sup>3</sup>

Oil: 5800 cc (SG = 0.847 g/cc)

Water 0 cc
```

The one gallon chamber was sent to Statoil PVT lab. for analysis.

Opening	pressure	offshore:	12860	KPa		
Opening	pressure	onshore:	11136	KPa	at	13 [°] C

- 78 -

Run no. 2:

3 out of 5 attempts to get pressure points were successful. An attempt to get a sample at 2913.6 m RKB failed due to a shorted conductor. This conductor is used to operate tool valves for sample chambers.

Run no. 3:

It was not possible to get pressure points during this run due to seal failures (misrun).

Run no. 4:

1 out of 2 attempts to get pressure points were successful. One segregated sample was taken at 2113.5 m RKB.

2 3/4 gallon chamber was drained offshore:

Opening pressure: 6998 KPa

Recovery: Gas: 0.33 Sm³ Oil: 500 cc Mudfiltrate/water: 9000 cc

1 gallon chamber was drained onshore:

Opening pressure offshore: 5274 KPa Opening pressure onshore: 3205 KPa at 13⁰C Recovery: Water with traces of oil

Data summary from PVT report:

Bubble point at res. temp. (120^OC): 356 bar

Single flash GOR:150 $\mathrm{Sm}^3/\mathrm{Sm}^3$ Flash volume factor:1.445 m/Sm³ STOViscosity at bubble point:0.368 cpViscosity at res. press:0.400 cpDensity at bubble point:0.700 g/cm³Density of STO:0.0854 g/cm³

Composition of reservoir fluid:

	Mol %
Nitrogen	0.65
Carbondioxide	2.11
Methane	43.18
Ethane	7.30
Propane	4.78
i-Butane	0.74
n-Butane	2.10
i-Pentane	0.76
n-Pentane	1.22
Hexanes	1.78
Heptanes	3.56
Octanes	3.96
Nonanes	2.80
Decan plus	25.06

Corrected pressure readings from the various runs are listed below and plotted in Fig. 5.1.

Run	Depth	Formation	Comments
no.	(m RKB)	press (KPa)	
1	2901	3894	Supercharge
	2902.5	38522	Supercharge
	2904	-	Tight formation
	2906	-	Tight formation
	2908.4	-	Tight formation
	2910	35329	Very low perm.
	2912	34788	Very good perm.,
			sample
	2914	34788	Very good perm.
	2916	34829	Very good perm.
	2918	34850	Very good perm.
	2924	34915	Very good perm.
	2935	35088	Very good perm.
	2946	35215	Very good perm.
	2955	35263	Very good perm.
	2971	35481	Very good perm.
	2986	35584	Very good perm.

- 80 -

	2994	35681	Very good perm.
	3003	35774	Very good perm.
	3023.5	35998	Very good perm.
	3043.5	36212	Very good perm.
	3097	36770	Very good perm.
	3119.5	37001	Very good perm.
	3146	37843	Very good perm.
2	2911.5	-	Tight formation
	2911.9	-	Tight formation
	2912	34936	Very good perm.
	2912.6	34915	Very good perm.
	2913.6	34936	Very good perm.
4	2913.4	-	Lost seal
	2913.5	34812	Very good perm.,
`			sample

The pressure points indicate a water gradient of 10.73 KPa/m (SG = 1.10 g/cc).

It was not possible to establish any oil gradient because the thinness of the oil zone (1.5 m).

From the sampling results it is proved that the oil/ water contact must be between 2912 and 2913.5 m RKB.



OPERATING AREA

1

۰.

MATERIALS USED PER CASING INTERVAL

36" HOLE 30" CONDUCTOR

MATERIAL	UNIT	QTY	COST	ESTIMATED USE	TOTAL COST \$
BARITE	-	-	-	35	3,500.00
BENTONITE	MT	30	7,500.00	23	5,750.00
CAUSTIC		33	371.25		
CMC Extra Hi v	is 25 KG.	14	612.50		
SODA ASH	50 KG.	11	223.52		
TOTAL MATERIAL	COST		8707.27		9,250.00
COST PER METER	DRILLED	61.43	ES	TIMATED	142.31
COST PER CUBIC	METER	18.97	ES	TIMATED	30.53
COST PER BARRE	L	3.02	ES	TIMATED	4.87



۰.

OPERATING AREA STATOIL Well No. 15/12-4.

MATERIALS USED PER CASING INTERVAL

26" HOLE 20" CASING

MATERIAL	UNIT	QTY	COST	ESTIN	MATED USE	TOTAL COST \$
BARITE	MT	82	8,2000.	00	152	15,200.00
BENTONITE	MT	18	4,500.	00	50	12,500.00
CAUSTIC	25 KG.	37	416.	25		
CMC Extra Hi vis	25 KG.	12	525.	00		
LIGNOSULFONATE	25 KG.	12	159.	00		
STAFLO	25 KG.	3	322.	35		
SODA ASH	50 KG.	9	182.	88		
XCD POLYMER	25 KG.	1	359.	60		

TOTAL MATERIAL COST

14,665.08

27,700.00

•

COST	PER M	IETER	DRILLE	D (345)	56.53	ESTIMATED	(340)	81.47
COST	PER C	CUBIC	METER	(1,147	17.00	ESTIMATED	(779)	35.56
COST	PER B	BARREL	(7,21	3)	2.70	ESTIMATED	(4900)	5.56



۰.

OPERATING AREA STATOIL Well No. 15/12-4.

MATERIALS USED PER CASING INTERVAL

17 1/2 HOLE 13 3/8 CASING

UNIT	QTY	COST EST	IMATED USE	TOTAL COST \$
MT	43	4,300.00	61	6,100.00
MT	4	1,000.00	81	20,250.00
25 KG.	170	1,912.50	217	2,441.25
25 KG.	118	4,336.50	248	9,114.00
25 KG.	12	441.00		
25 KG.	3	131.25		
50 LB.	293	17,392.48	344	20,419.84
40 KG.	740	5,505.60	707(50KG.)	6,575.10
25 KG.	13	79.30		
25 KG.	-	-	155	2,053.75
50 KG.	-	-	24	487.68
25 KG.	85	30,566.00	144	51,782.40
	UNIT MT 25 KG. 25 KG. 25 KG. 25 KG. 50 LB. 40 KG. 25 KG. 25 KG. 50 KG.	UNIT QTY MT 43 MT 4 25 KG. 30 LB. 25 KG. 40 KG. 740 25 25 KG. 13 25 25 KG. 50 KG. 25 KG. 50 KG. 25 KG. 25 KG.	UNITQTYCOSTESTMT434,300.00MT41,000.0025KG.1701,912.5025KG.1184,336.5025KG.12441.0025KG.3131.2550LB.29317,392.4840KG.7405,505.6025KG.1379.3025KG50KG50KG25KG.8530,566.00	UNIT QTY COST ESTIMATED USE MT 43 4,300.00 61 MT 4 1,000.00 81 25 KG. 170 1,912.50 217 25 KG. 118 4,336.50 248 25 KG. 12 441.00 25 25 KG. 12 441.00 25 25 KG. 13 131.25 50 50 LB. 293 17,392.48 344 40 KG. 740 5,505.60 707 (50KG.) 25 KG. 13 79.30 155 50 KG. - - 155 50 KG. - - 24 25 KG. 85 30,566.00 144

TOTAL MATERIAL COST

;

65,664.63

119,224.02

COST 1	PER	METER	DRILLED	(1,100)	43.67	ESTIMATED	(1100)	108.38
COST	PER	CUBIC	METER (1,222)	53.74	ESTIMATED	(1086)	109.78
COST 1	PER	BARREI	. (7,685)	8.55	ESTIMATED	(6830)	17.47



۰.

OPERATING AREA STATOIL Well No. 15/12-4.

MATERIALS USED PER CASING INTERVAL

12 1/4 HOLE 9 5/8 CASING

MATERIAL	UNIT	QTY	<u>COST</u> <u>EST</u>	IMATED US	SE TOTAL COST \$
BARITE	MT	112	11,200.00	283	28,300.00
BENTONITE	MT	2	500.00	18	4,500.00
CAUSTIC	25 KG.	103	1,158.75	131	1,473.75
CMC Lo vis	25 KG.	89	3,270.75	154	5,659.50
DEXDRID	50 LB.	247	14,661.92	216	12,821.76
DRISPAC (reg)	50 LB.	6	585.00	-	-
GYPSUM	40 KG.	345	2,566.80	327 (50KG) 3,041.10
LIGNOSULFONATE	25 KG.	40	530.00	27	357.75
SODA ASH	50 KG.	1	20.32	-	-
SODIUM BICARB	50 KG.	12	259.20	13	280.80
STAFLO	-	12	1,289.40	-	-
XCD POLYMER	-	24	8,630.40	36	12,945.60

TOTAL MATERIAL COST

ż

44,715.24

69,380.26

COST	PER ME	TER DRILLED	(1,060)	37.25	ESTIMATED	(1,120)	61.95
COST	PER CU	BIC METER (870)	71.66	ESTIMATED	(573)	121.08
COST	PER BA	RREL (5,471)	11.39	ESTIMATED	(3,600)	19.27



OPERATING AREA

STATOIL Well No. 15/12-4.

MATERIALS USED PER CASING INTERVAL

8 1/2 HOLE

MATERIAL	UNIT	QTY	COST ES	TIMATED USE	TOTAL COST \$
BARITE	MT	56	5,600.00	216	21,600.00
BENTONITE	MT	11	2,750.00	25	6,250.00
CAUSTIC SODA	25 KG.	73	821.25	132	1,485.00
CC1b	50 LB.	265	4,505.00	362	5,592.90
CMC Lo vis	25 KG.	203	7,460.25	185	6,798.75
CMC Hi vis	25 KG.	3	110.25	-	-
DEXTRID	50 LB.	18	1,068.48	-	-
LIGNOSULFONATE	25 KG.	110	1,457.50	444	5,883.00
SODA ASH	50 KG.	9	182.88	9	182.88
SODIUM BICARB	50 KG.	5	108.00	12	258.20

 TOTAL MATERIAL COST
 24,179.51
 48,051.73

 COST PER METER DRILLED (529)
 87.65
 ESTIMATED (1,120)
 61.95

 COST PER CUBIC METER (432)
 107.33
 ESTIMATED (318)
 151.11

 COST PER BARREL (2,717)
 17.07
 ESTIMATED (2,000)
 24.03



OPERATOR STAT	LIOT
---------------	------

WELL NAME/No. 15/12-4.

CONTRACTOR O.D.C.C.

2

RIG DEEP SEA BERGEN

BAROID ENGINEERS CHRIS MADLEY - HOLLIS CLUCK

T.D. 3157 m.

HOLE SIZE	CASING SIZE	CASING SET AT	MUD TYPE	MUD COST	DRILLING DAYS
36"	30"	171	GEL-SEAWATER	3,869.92	0.6
26"	20"	505	GEL-SEAWATER	19,502.43	2.1
17 1/2	13 3/8	1601	GYP-POLYMER	48,039.43	2.9
12 1/4	9 5/8	2666	GYP-POLYMER	39,480.90	4.5
8 1/2		3157	LIGNITE- LIGNOSULFONATE	46,365.73	5.3