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## SECTTON 1. INIRODUCTION

This report presents the results of monitoring the quality of seawater and calcium chloride brine circulated in Well 31/3-1 in the Troll Field, in preparation for the production tests in the gas zone.

The two main production tests involved internal gravel pack completions. The first test was in the 1519 m to 1529 m zone. The second test was in the 1373 m to 1383 m zone.

The cleanliness of all fluids pumped downhole, and that of the returns, was carefully monitored. The cleanliness of the fluids and rate of clean up have a direct effect, not only on the skin factor of the producing zone, but also the entrained fines within the gravel when placed, and the subsequent near well bore permeability.

The completion fluid monitoring tests were performed by Oil Plus on rig Deep Sea Bergen between 8th September and 29th September 1983.

## SECTION 2. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The results of brine quality monitoring indicated that the procedures used had been successful in achieving a high standard of cleanliness of the brine, casing and gravel pack string prior to perforations for the two tests in the 1519 m to 1529 m , and 1373 m to 1383 m BRT Zones.

Higher degrees of cleanliness than for previous completions in Troll Fleld were attained, and provided a standard which subsequent completions should strive to achieve.
2. Turbidity values of just greater than 1 NTU (Nephelonetric Turbidity Unit) were recorded for the calcium chloride brine in the hole prior to each perforation job, as compared to a best of 3.8 NTU for previous completions in the Troll Field.

Coulter Counter readings were:-
$400-500$ particles of diameter greater than or equal to 3 microns per 0.05 ml .
and less than
100 particles of diameter greater than or equal to 5 microns per 0.05 ml .

Subsequent completions should strive for brine returns of this quality, as this corresponds closely to the average quality of the brine at the outlet to the filter system.
3. Procedures of well clean up operations of ten state that brine circulation should continue until the solids level of the returns had reached a minimum. It is unlikely that an irreducible solids concentration would be reached because once the casing, and gravel pack string have been cleaned the filter system will still continue to remove a certain percentage of particles on each pass through the filters.

If it proves impractical to achieve the target quality as specified above, we recommend that brine circulation should be continued until the 'clean up rate', or reduction in particle counts (of particles of diameter greater than or equal to size which will critically block the formation, in this case considered to be 3 microns) in the returns reduces by less than $5 \%$ in the time of one circulation.
4. To be able to achieve a similar brine cleanliness for subsequent completions, as was attained for this completion, it will be important to repeat the following steps:-
1). Thoroughly clean the casing and gravel pack string before RIH. Use minimum pipe dope whilst making up the string.
ii). Thoroughly flush and circulate seawater through the mud system, all lines to be used during the gravel pack, and the choke and kill lines, before circulating brine. The quality of the fluids flushing these lines should be carefully monitored to measure the efficiency of the clean up. It is important to recognise that seawater from the rig seawater main is a very clean fluid, even in comparison to the filtered brine, and should be used to the maximum benefit to clean up the topsides equipment and the well prior to brine circulation.

1i1). Transport the brine to the rig in lined tanks on the deck of the supply boats to ensure that the brine can be filtered by the fine filters. After transportation to and filtration on the rig, brine of turbidity of less than 3 NTU should be achieved. If not investigations into the cleanliness of the brine producing and transporting system should be made. Brine of this quality is vital to the swift and successful clean up operation.
iv). Ensure lines used to transfer the brine from the supply boat to the rig are clean, and are not contaminated with diesel ofl or other contaminants.
v). Always use the complete filter system, including the fine filters when filtering the brine.
vi). Thoroughly hose down the parts of the mud system to be used for the brine circulation, and circulate seawater through it so that the solids pick up in the system can be monitored prior to the introduction of the brine.

As a further assurance of brine cleanliness, thought should be given to the feasibility of bypassing the mud system altogether when circulating the seawater and brine.
vii). Use a standby system when changing filters, and ensure that enough filters are on-line to cope with the prevailing flow rate. Failure to do so will result in a deterioration of brine quality.
viii). Use a water soluble oil to lubricate the pistons of the Dowell high pressure pump unit. This prevents insoluble oil particles picked up from the pump adding to the suspended particle counts level of the brine. Water soluble oil should also be used for the pump which transfers the brine from the supply boat to the rig.

## Section 2. Continued ...

1x) Fittings should be available to provide sample points in the chicksan downstream of the Dowell high pressure pump during the brine circulation.

A sample point should also be available at the drill floor during seawater circulation, downstream of the mud pumps and the mud lines to the drill floor to distinguish between solids picked up in the mud system, and those picked up in the well.
x). The pH of the calcium chloride should be kept below 10 to prevent any magnesium present precipitating which would increase the solids level of the brine.

## SECTION 3.

ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

## SECTION 3. ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

This section describes the techniques used to analyse the campletion fluids, in terms of particle size distribution, turbidity and the weight of suspended solids.

### 3.1 Seawater and Calcium Chloride Brine Quality Monitoring

### 3.1.1. Particle Size Analysis

The particle size analysis of the seawater and calcium chloride brine samples were conducted using a Model D Industrial Coulter Counter, counting particles of diameter between 1.0 to 15.0 microns.

This equipment measures the volume of a particle, and the particle size is expressed as the diameter of a sphere having an equal volume.

### 3.1.2. Turbidity

Turbidity was measured using a Hach 2100A turbidity meter. This instrument measures the amount of light scattered, at $90^{\circ}$ to the incident light, by the suspended particles. The results are given in Nephelanetric Turbidity Units (N.T.U.).

The readings are dependent on the particle size distribution and particle shape, as well as the suspended solids concentration. Thus, two waters having the same turbidity may well be quite different in terms of particle size and their distribution.

Nevertheless, the readings provide a useful check for monitoring variations in water or brine quality.

### 3.1.3. Millipore Filter Tests

All Millipore tests were run using pre-weighed Millipore membrane filters of 47 mm diameter and 0.45 micron pore size. these tests were run in accordance with the National Association of Corrosion Engineers Standard TM-01-73. "Methods for detemining water quality for sub-surface injection using membrane filters".

The method used by Oil Plus involved taking a 10 litre sample of water into a pressure cell which was then pressurised and held at 20 psif; water flowed from this cell through the Millipore filter, discharging to atmosphere.
3.1.3. Millipore Filter Tests (Contd) ...

Simultaneously, 'Slope' tests were conducted by measuring the volume passing through a Millipore membrane with time. The rate of change of the flowrate gives an indication of the water quality. The dirtier the water the more rapid the decline in flow rate.

After conducting these tests the Millipores were flushed through using 0.45 micron filtered, de-ionised water to remove all traces of salt water which would otherwise crystallise on the membrane and give false results. After drying, the Millipore membranes were weighed and suspended solids calculated.

## SECTION 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### 4.1. Introduction

This section presents and discusses the results of completion fluid monitoring performed by $O 11$ Plus during the production test programmes in the gas zone of Well 31/3-1, of the Troll Field.

The section is divdided into four parts.
Firstly the well clean up operation for the production tests in the 1519 m to 1529 m zone.

Secondly the well clean up operation for the production tests in the 1373 m to 1383 m zone.

Thirdly filter performance and finally brine quality on arrival on the rig Deep Sea Bergen.

### 4.2. Production Zone 1519 m to 1529 m BRT. Internal Gravel Pack Completion

### 4.2.1. Clean Up Procedure

Following RIH with a $9 \frac{5}{5}$ " casing scraper, and with the $8 \frac{1}{2}$ " bit on bottom a 50 bbl spacer was pumped followed by seawater to displace the drilling mad from the well.

The seawater circulation system is illustrated in Figure 4.1.
After the well had been displaced to seawater a 20 bbl pill of viscosified seawater containing a surfactant and sand was pumped. (The object of circulating this pill containing sand was to score off and clean up any corrosion products still adhering to the inside of the casing).

This pill was followed by a similar pill, but without the sand. These pills were circulated out with seawater. Another viscous pill was introduced after another half hole volume had been pumped. These pills were circulated out with seawater and discarded.

This treatment was followed by 2000 gallons of $7 \frac{1}{2} \% \mathrm{HCL}$ acid containing a corrosion inhibitor.

The seawater was then circulated as fast as possible, with the returns being dumper. Circulation was continued until the solids level had reached a practical minimum, as measured by the Coulter Counter. Ideally, this would have been when the solids level going into the well was the same as the returns from the well.

### 4.2.1. Clean Up Procedure

Once the solids level of the seawater returned had reached a practicle minimum the drill string was pulled. It was replaced by the string to be used during the gravel pack operation, so that it would be cleaned during subsequent seawater and brine circulations.

With the gravel pack string RIH, seawater circulation was recommenced and was again continued until the solids level of the seawater returns reached a minimum. During this time the choke and kill lines, and the parts of the mud system which were to be used during the brine circulation were flushed with the clean seawater returns. Prior to this the relevant parts of the mud system had been thoroughly hosed down to remove all traces of mud.

When the mud system had been flushed with seawater the seawater was circulated out of the well with 20 bbls of viscosified seawater, followed by filtered 1.16 SG calcium chloride brine.

The calcium chloride brine was then circulated and filtered using the system illustrated in Figure 4.2. The brine circulation continued until the solids concentration in the brine had levelled off at the lowest practical level as measured by the Coulter (particle) Counter and the turbidity meter.

The perforating was then performed followed by a short flow test and PBU prior to the setting of the internal gravel pack.

Three attempts at setting the gravel pack were required before a successful pack was completed. This was due to formation sand blocking the screens.
4.2.2. Seawater and Brine Quality During Clean Up Procedure

The results of the turbidity and particle count measurements for the circulation of seawater and 1.16 SG calcium chioride brine are illustrated in Figures 4.3 and 4.4 respectively. The results are presented in Tables 4.1 to 4.7.

The results of the membrane filter slope tests, prior to perforation, are illustrated in Figure 4.5 as 'Barkman and Davidson Plots'. The steeper the gradient of the plot, the better the water quality. In essence the plots for the worst water qualities plot closest to the X -axis. The volume of sample which passed through the membrane filter in 30 mirutes during the seawater circulationn is plotted in Figure 4.6. Suspended solids concentrations, in milligrammes per litre are presented in Table 4.7.

### 4.2.2. Contd ...

With the drill string RIH, approximately five complete circulations were required to reduce the turbidity of the seawater returns from 80 NTU to a steady minimum level of approximately 10 NTU (following the viscous sand and acid pill treatments).

When the string to be used for the gravel pack installation had been RIH, and the seawater circulation restarted the turbidity of the seawater returns fell from a maximum of 310 NTU, finally levelling off at approximately 12.5 NTU after just over one circulation. This rapid rate of clean up is a direct result of thorough cleaning of the gravel pack string including Tubulars and casing prior to RIH. This practice avoids unnecessary contamination of the seawater and saves rig time by reducing the number of circulations required during the clean-up.

A sample taken from the rig seawater main (the input to the seawater circulation system) had an average turbidity of just 0.25 NTU , much less than the minimum for seawater returns. Oil Plus' experience of monitoring water injection tests using mad pumps has shown that significant levels of solids can be picked up from the mud pump and the mud lines to the drill floor even after extended periods of time. This results fram the vibration, induced during pumping, loosening mud from pump and mud line surfaces. The affect is increased where unlined pipes, or pipes with damaged linings are used as the initial amounts of mud adhering are greater. Prior to a clean up operation involving seawater circulation a sample point should be provided on the drill floor, at the inlet to the well. This would enable distinctions to be made between solids picked $u p$ in the well and contamination due to the mud pump and murd lines. If the solids pick up in the well was found to cease before that in the mud system, circulation time could be reduced and rig time saved.

An indication that a lot of the solids picked up by the brine originated from the mud pumps and mud lines to the drill floor was given by the subsequent circulation of filtered brine. The problem with the mud lines to the drill floor is that they are inaccessible and are not cleaned in any way, apart from the flushing with seawater.

In the case of the brine circulation prior to perforation the turbidity of the brine returns fell from a maximum of 8.0 NTU, to a minimum of just l.1 NTU, in the course of just over three circulations.

The final turbidity of the brine returns represented an improvement on the final turbidity of the seawater returns. This may be attributed to the brine not picking up solids

### 4.2.2. Contd ....

from the mud lines to the drill floor. The average turbidity of the brine at the well inlet was 1.4 NTU compared to an average for the rig seawater main of 0.25 NTU.

During brine circulation prior to perforation the brine turbidity at the well inlet (downstream of the Dowell pump) fell from 2.9 NTU to 0.37 NTU. Figure 4.8 compares the turbidity at the well inlet and outlet. Figure 4.9 illustrates the results of the membrane filter slope tests during the brine circulation, whilst Figure 4.10 plots the volume passed through the membrane filter in 30 minutes. All results show the gradual improvement in the quality of the brine returns.

The final turbidity of the brine returns represents an improvement in brine quality in comparison to previous completions in the Troll field. This may be attributed to a successful cleaning of the mud system prior to brine circulation, and of the tubulars prior to RIH, and also due to the use of a water soluble oil to lubricate the pistons of the Dowell high pressure pump unit. The use of a water soluble oil, precludes insoluble oil droplets being picked up in the pump and increasing turbidity.

The results of monitoring turbidity and particle size distribution of brine returns during circulations associated with the three gravel pack attempts are illustrated in Figures 4.11 to 4.14 inclusive. The results of the membrane filter slope tests prior to the first gravel pack attempt are shown in Figure 4.15. Volumes passed through the membrane filter in 30 minites are illustrated in Figure 4.10, whilst the suspended solids concentrations are plotted in Figure 4.7.

At all times the turbidity of the final brine returns was comparable to the best obtained during previous completions in the Troll field, i.e., less than 5 NTU.

A slight rise in the turbidity of the final brine returns after perforation was due to contamination by sized calcium carbonate particles from the lost circulation pills. To simulate the effect of acid treatment on the turbidity and particle counts of the brine, $15 \%$ HCL was added to the brine samples. The changes in turbidity and particle counts due to the addition of $15 \% \mathrm{HCL}$, a third of the volume of the sample, are presented in tables 4.8 and 4.9 respectively. On average a $57 \%$ reduction in turbidity was achieved through acidifying the sample.

### 4.2.3. Brine pH and Stability of Solids Level

No pH greater than 10 was recorded for the brine, indicating that the brine was kept below the pH at which any magnesium present would precipitate. The stability of the brine's solids level, at surface conditions, for the period of one circulation, was checked. The results are presented in Table 4.10. No significant change in the solids level was found confirming the stability of the brine.

### 4.3. Production Test Zone 1373 m to 1383 m BRT. Internal Gravel Pack

Following the production test in the 1519 m to 1529 m zone a cement plug was set to block off that layer prior to the production test in the 1373 m to 1383 m zone.

The 1.16 SG brine used for the previaus completion was replaced by 1.30 SG brine.

The 1.30 SG brine was circulated and filtered until an acceptable solids level for the brine returns had been obtained.

The casing was then perforated between 1373 m and 1383 m BRT, after which there was a short flow test followed by a pressure build up test.

Subsequent circulation followed, before the setting of the gravel pack.

The results of monitoring the turbidity and particle size distribution of the brine returns are illustrated in Figures 4.16 to 4.17 respectively. The results are presented in Table 4.11.

As for the 1519 m to 1529 m zone, between three and four complete circulations of brine were required before the turbidity of the brine returns fell to just over 1 NTU.

### 4.4. Filtration System and Filter Performance

The brine filtration system is illustrated in Figure 4.2. 4 pods, each housing 18, 5 micron nominal Hytrex cartridge filters, arranged in parallel, act as the pre filter to 4 pods, also arranged in parallel, each containing 32, 10 micron absolute Pall cartridge filters. This is the filtration system, suggested by O1l Plus, for previous completions in the Troll field.

Each pod containing the Hytrex filters can take a maximum flow of 5 bpm without adversely affecting filter performance. For the Pall filters the maximum recommended flow rate is 2 bpm per por. As the maximum flow rate for the brine was approximately $6 \mathrm{bpm}, 2$ pods of Hytrex filters and 3 pods of

### 4.4. Contd ...

Pall filters should be online. The other pods should be filled and on standby ready for when the differential pressure reaches that requiring a change of filters. The maximum allowable differential pressures for the Hytrex and Pall filters is 20 psi and 40 psi respectively.

The increase in differential pressure across the filters represents a build up of filter cake on the filter surface which improves filter efficiency. The 5 micron nominal Hytrex filters are coarse enough to let sufficient particles to pass for a filter cake to build up on the Pall filters, yet still fine enough to enable a reasonable filter life for the Pall filters. The filter system is a compromise between filter efficiency and a reasonable filter life.

Filter change overs are an important aspect of filtration. The system of standby filters mentioned above should be adopted. This enables a quicker filter change to be made and also guards against the need to bypass a filter stage during filter change out.

If the coarse filtration has to be bypassed curing filter changes the life of the fine filters will be dramatically reduced. If the fine filtration stage has to be bypassed during filter changes, brine of substandard quality will enter the well, lengthening the time required to reach the desired brine quality at the well outlet. Thus, efficient filter changes, adopting a standby system will lengthen filter life and save rig time during the brine circulation system.

A standby system in which only one new pod of filters is introduced at one time will also help to maintain filter efficiency, again saving rig time. As mentioned above, as a filter cake builds up on filters and the differential pressure increases, filter efficiency will also increase. If all of the filter pods are changed at once the benefit to filtration due to filter cake build up will all be lost and has to be regained gradually as the filter cakes redevelop. Changing only one pod at a time will be less detrimental to filter efficiency.

The average filtration efficiency for the 5 micron nominal Hytrex filters on their own was $46.4 \%$ for particles of diameter greater or equal to 3 microns and $55.2 \%$ for particles of diameter greater or equal to 5 microns. In comparison the efficiency with the Pall filters as the fine filtration stage was $89.1 \%$ and $92.8 \%$ respectively at the particle sizes mentioned above.

The average filter efficiency, as indicated by turbidity, for the Hytrex filters on their own, and the complete filtration system was $34.2 \%$ and $87.2 \%$ respectively.

### 4.4. Contd ....

This illustrates the better filtration provided by absolute cartridge filters as opposed to nominally rated filters. Also apparent is the obvious benefit of using the complete filtration system, including the Pall filters, and not just the Hytrex filters, for example when transferring brine from the supply boats to the Dowell Storage tanks on the rig.

Thought should be given to the feasibility of re-using the more expensive Pall filters by washing the surfaces of the filters after use, with a high pressure hose. This may be time consuming, yet some increase in filter life will be achieved. Also, the filter cake left will procuce a high initial filter efficiency when first put back on-line.
4.5. Brine Quality as Received on Board the Rig from the Supply Boat

Great effort was made to present the brine at the rig in as clean a condition as possible. Providing clean brine to the rig reduces the circulation required to reach the desired brine quality and also saves on the number of filters used on the rig.

To this end the brine was filtered twice onshore by 5 micron nominal Hytrex cartridge filters, and transported to the rig in lined storage tanks on the deck of the supply boat.

During some previous completions in the Troll field the brine had to be transported to the rig in the supply boats' own tanks often resulting in contamination of the brine which negated the effects of onshore filtering. This meant that the brine could only be filtered by the coarse filters during transfer to the rig, and on those occasions, brine of substandard quality was placed in the rig storage tanks.

The results of monitoring the quality of the brine as it was transferred onto the rig from the supply boat are presented in Table 4.21. The average turbidity of the brine from the storage tanks on the supply boat was 20.9 NTU. The brine was of sufficient quality that it could always be filtered by the complete filter system incorporating the fine Pall filters.

The results of monitoring the brine quallity in the rig storage tanks after filtration and transferring it from the supply boat are presented in Table 4.22. The average turbidity of the brine in the storage tanks was 1.7 NTU .

### 4.5. Continued....

The importance of obtaining clean brine in the Dowell rig storage tanks prior to the displacement of the well to brine cannot be over emphasised. It should be possible to have brine in the storage tanks, with a turbidity of 3 NTU or less using the present filtration and transportation system. Investigation into the cleanliness of the equipment used should be made if brine of this quality is not obtained.

The quality of the brine used to perform the initial displacement of the well will greatly effect the time required to reach the target brine quality. As shown in Figure 4.2. the brine is not filtered on passing from the Dowell residence/storage tank to the Dowell pump (the brine is filtered before it enters the Dowell tank). Thus the quality of the brine when it reaches the ris is all important in saving rig time whilst performing the clean up operation.

If seawater circulations performed prior to the brine circulation have been successful, and the mud system has been thoroughly cleaned, theoretically the brine should pick up a relatively low level of solids on being circulated through the well.

Providing the brine is clean at the well inlet the circulation and filtration will soon result in acceptable brine qualities. The importance of inlet brine quality, thorough cleaning of the system and successful seawater circulations are all crucial in achieving satisfactory completion fluid quality.
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TABLE 4.1
SEAWATER CIRCULATTION PRIOR TO PERFORATTON FOR TEST IN 1519m TO 1529m BDF ZONE

SEAWATER MAIN
TURBIDITIES, PARTICLE COUNTS AND SUSPENDED SOLIDS

SEAWATER CIRCULATTON PRAOR TO PERFORATION FOR TEST
IN 1519 m TO 1529 m BDF ZONE
SEAWATER REIURNS AT INLET TO SHALE SH
PARTICLE OOUNTS, TURBIDITY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS
WELL 31/3-1

| Date | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9.83 | 9.9 .83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TYme hrs | 1345 | 1400 | 1415 | 1530 | 1615 | 1700 | 1835 | 1850 | 1915 | 1945 | 2020 | 2040 |
| Particle dia. d microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.00 | Too dirty for particle count |  |  |  |  |  | 12682 | 12275 |  | 13266 | 13019 | 12574 |
| 2.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11957 | 10535 |  | 11590 | 8606 | 9128 |
| 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11050 | 9290 |  | 8826 | 7060 | 7595 |
| 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7433 | 6016 |  | 4682 | 3557 | 4331 |
| 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5191 | 4135 |  | 3553 | 2046 | 2727 |
| 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2199 | 1864 |  | 1624 | 584 | 758 |
| 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 777 | 746 |  | 791 | 210 | 277 |
| 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 | 143 |  | 232 | 30 | 67 |


| Dissolved <br> Iron ppm |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.25 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total <br> iron ppm |  | 1.5 |  |  |  | 0.50 |  |


| Turbidity NTU | 65 | 58 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 50 | 31 | 26 | 46 | 27 | 16 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Comments | Initial displacement of mud with seawater | Pumped <br> v1scous <br> sand pill <br> at 1745 hrs | Pumped <br> viscous <br> pill at <br> 1900 hrs | Sand and viscous pill <br> returns @ 1930 hrs <br> and 2010 hrs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

TABLE 4.3
SEAWATER CIRCULATION PRIOR TO PERFORATION FOR TEST
SEAWATER RETURNS AT INLET TO SHALE SHAKERS PARTICLE ODUNTS, TURBIDITY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS

| Date | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 9.9 .83 | 10.9 .83 | 10.9 .83 | 10.9 .83 | 10.9 .83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THme hrs | 2100 | 2145 | 2200 | 2215 | 2230 | 2255 | 2315 | 2335 | 0015 | 0035 | 0100 | 0130 |
| Particle dia. d microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.00 | 12190 | 13733 | 13075 |  | 14436 | 14664 | 14038 | 12745 | 12133 | 10589 | 11754 | 10980 |
| 2.5 | 8274 | 9431 | 10671 |  | 11975 | 11673 | 10142 | 8393 | 7279 | 6442 | 7077 | 6946 |
| 3.0 | 5816 | 7617 | 9132 |  | 10806 | 9885 | 8199 | 7421 | 5523 | 4873 | 5563 | 5310 |
| 4.0 | 3425 | 3875 | 5280 |  | 6287 | 5928 | 4394 | 3576 | 2741 | 2407 | 2423 | 2452 |
| 5.0 | 2045 | 2434 | 3349 |  | 3802 | 3651 | 2704 | 2198 | 1627 | 1523 | 1483 | 1570 |
| 7.5 | 511 | 670 | 889 |  | 813 | 876 | 579 | 469 | 391 | 332 | 362 | 383 |
| 10.0 | 194 | 236 | 329 |  | 270 | 184 | 136 | 122 | 113 | 108 | 86 | 95 |
| 15.0 | 68 | 41 | 76 |  | 42 | 31 | 18 | 9 | 18 | 17 | 9 | 10 |


| Dissolved <br> Iron <br> ppm 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.15 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Total <br> Iron <br> ppm 25 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 0.4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


\section*{| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Turbidity } \\ \text { NIU }\end{array}$ | 15 | 16 | 22 | 80 | 29 | 28 | 22 | 17 | 12.5 | 11.5 | 11 | 11.5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |}



| Comments | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Pumped } \\ \text { acid at } \\ 2110 \mathrm{hrs}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Acid } \\ \text { returns at } \\ 2210 \mathrm{hrs}\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

TABLE 4.4
SEAWATER CIRCULATION PRIOR TO PERFORAITION FOR
$\square$
SEAWATER RETURNS AT INLET TO SHALE SHAKERS PARTICLE COUNTS, TURBIDITY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS WELL 31/3-1

| Date | 10.9 .83 | 10.9.83 |  | 10.9.83 | 10.9.83 | 10.9 .83 | 10.9 .83 | 10.9.83 | 10.9.83 | 10.9.83 | 10.9 .83 | 11.9.83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time hrs | 0225 | 0250 |  | 2050 | 2105 | 2125 | 2145 | 2200 | 2220 | 2235 | 2255 | 0015 |
| Particle dia. d microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.00 | 10826 | 12047 |  | 14336 | 14964 |  | 12007 | 9761 | 10616 | 10738 | 11588 | 14689 |
| 2.5 | 7200 | 7821 |  | 13952 | 12928 |  | 10488 | 6825 | 6942 | 6745 | 7228 | 9635 |
| 3.0 | 5055 | 5833 |  | 13673 | 11111 |  | 9241 | 5433 | 5549 | 4876 | 5230 | 6459 |
| 4.0 | 1779 | 2266 |  | 10374 | 6279 |  | 6159 | 3463 | 3080 | 2396 | 2591 | 2822 |
| 5.0 | 1140 | 1393 |  | 7552 | 3904 |  | 4419 | 2532 | 2308 | 1554 | 1593 | 1482 |
| 7.5 | 329 | 380 |  | 2825 | 1150 |  | 2071 | 1140 | 674 | 398 | 326 | 215 |
| 10.0 | 87 | 91 |  | 118 | 409 |  | 848 | 449 | 216 | 131 | 107 | 67 |
| 15.0 | 10 | 12 |  | 267 | 55 |  | 255 | 64 | 38 | 24 | 14 | 4 |


| Dissolved <br> Iron <br> ppm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Total Iron ppm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Turbidity } \\ & \text { NTU } \end{aligned}$ | 9.7 | 10.5 | 44 | 34 | 310 | 29 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 |


| Suspended <br> solids <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- |


| Comments |  |  | Pooh to RIH <br> with string to <br> be used for <br> gravel pack. |  |  | 100.1 | 1157 |  |  |  | 14.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

TABLE 4.5
SEAWATER CIRCULATTON PRIOR TO PERFORATION FOR TEST IN 1519 m TO 1529 m BDF ZONE PARTICLE COUNTS, TURBIDITY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS WELL 31/3-1


| FILTERED BRINE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | 11.9 .83 | 11.9 .83 | 11.9 .83 | 11.9 .83 |
| Time hrs | 0740 | 0755 | 0830 | 0905 |
| Particle dia．d microns | Number of Part |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 17968 | 12904 | 12422 | 9109 |
| 2.5 | 9720 | 5868 | 7321 | 4669 |
| 3.0 | 3415 | 2825 | 4056 | 2371 |
| 4.0 | 1372 | 1332 | 2069 | 1174 |
| 5.0 | 723 | 774 | 1101 | 656 |
| 7.5 | 158 | 140 | 302 | 163 |
| 10.0 | 60 | 73 | 132 | 73 |
| 15.0 | 15 | 8 | 23 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## WETH 31／3－1



## TURBIDITIES，PARTICLE COUNTS AND SUSPENDED SOLIDS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | $\varepsilon$ | T | $\varepsilon$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 5 | OT | 5 | $0 \varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon ट$ | 8 | GT | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{GI}$ |
| IT | 8 | 9 | 9 L | 82 | $\varepsilon 2$ | 62 | $\square 2$ | 12 | $8 \overline{1}$ | $\varepsilon L$ | टहT | $\varepsilon L$ | 09 | $0 \cdot 01$ |
| 万2 | TE | 52 | L2 | T． 5 | $2 L$ | $5 G$ | 29 | SL | 2TT | $\varepsilon 9 T$ | 20E | Ot T | 8GT | $S^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ |
| 86 | OTT | 86 | STT | $4 G 2$ | 092 | ELZ | 592 | $80 \varepsilon$ | L97 | 959 | TOTI | TLL | $\varepsilon 2 L$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ |
| $\varepsilon 8 T$ | 28T | 6 T2 | GTD | 2St | LTS | S95 | OTS | $0 \varepsilon 9$ | LEOL | HLTI | 6902 | टع L | 己LET | $0^{\circ} 7$ |
| $68 \varepsilon$ | $25 \%$ | 977 | GEG | $5 \pi 01$ | ع6TT | 0L2T | 90LL | 197\％ | $9 \dagger G 2$ | TLE2 | 9507 | ¢ 282 | STTE | $0^{\circ} \varepsilon$ |
| TS8 | 816 | 806 | TпET | TLO2 | 90عट | 6LG2 | T\＆ยट | \＄6L2 | TEOS | 6997 | TटEL | 8985 | 02L6 | $5 \cdot 2$ |
| TOSI | 2ヵゅて | $95 \varepsilon 2$ | 6622 | 8ST9 | SHOS | 7865 | 8L25 | H2LS | 1 $2+76$ | 6016 | こटカ2L | 7062 L | 896LI | $0^{\circ} 2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots 0^{\circ} 0$ | （SuO | w）urd p | ＜SOtot | qed Jo | dequinn |  |  |  |
| S2tt | 007t | OटहT | $00 \varepsilon T$ | O2こT | 002T | G2TI | OSOT | OEOL | 5560 | 5060 | 0880 | SSLO | 07LO | Su4 $\partial u T J$ |
| E8＊ $6^{\circ}$［T | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} T T$ | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{TL}$ | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ}$ TI | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ T | ع8＊＊＊TI | E8 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\circ}$ TL | $88^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{TL}$ | と8＊＊＊T | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{IL}$ | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ I | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ T | ع8＊ $6^{\circ}$［ | ع8＊ $6^{\circ}$ TT | ว7ed |




| Turbidity NIU | 7.4 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspended solids $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 9.4 |  | 20.9 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 2.6 |  | 1.7 |  |  |




TABLE 4.8

BRINE CIRCULATION AFIER PERFORATION FOR TEST
IN 1519M TO 1529M ZONE
IMPROVEMENTS IN QUALITY OF BRINE REIURNS DUE TO ACIDIFICATION AFTER INTRODUCTION OF SIZED CALCIUM CARBONATE

| Date | Time Hours | Turbidity NTU |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Reduction } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-Acid | After Acid |  |
| 15.9 .83 | 1400 | 115 | 21 | 81.7 |
|  | 1425 | 42 | 5.4 | 87.1 |
|  | 1440 | 19 | 2.8 | 85.3 |
|  | 1510 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 58.1 |
|  | 1525 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 75.7 |
| 16.9 .83 | 0010 | 16 | 8.5 | 46.9 |
|  | 1000 | 2.6 | 1.5 | $42.3^{\circ}$ |
|  | 1040 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 20.0 |
|  | 1230 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 19.0 |

TABLE 4.9
BRTNE CIRCULATION AFIER PERFORATION FOR TEST IN 1519M TO 1529M ZONE
IMPROVEMENTS IN BRINE QUALITY DUE TO ACIDIFICATION AFTER INTRODUCTION OF SIZED CALCIUM CARBONATE

WELL 31/3-1

| Date | 15.9 .83 |  |  | 15.9 .83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time hrs | 1455 |  |  | 1525 |  |  |
| Sample | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre } \\ & \text { Acid } \end{aligned}$ | After Acid | Theduction | Pre Acid | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { After } \\ \text { Acid } \end{array}$ | Reduction |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Particle } \\ & \text { dia. d } \\ & \text { microns } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 22382 | 9094 | 59.4 | 8035 | 3511 | 56.3 |
| 2.5 | 14774 | 3127 | 78.8 | 3154 | 724 | 77.0 |
| 3.0 | 4827 | 1046 | 78.3 | 1420 | 330 | 76.8 |
| 4.0 | 615 | 247 | 59.8 | 601 | 127 | 78.9 |
| 5.0 | 193 | 50 | 74.1 | 320 | 53 | 83.4 |
| 7.5 | 70 | 11 | 84.3 | 89 | 24 | 73.0 |
| 10.0 | 29 | 4 | 86.2 | 37 | 5 | 86.5 |
| 15.0 | 16 | 1 | 93.8 | 11 | 3 | 72.7 |


| $\substack{\text { Pump Rate } \\ \text { BPM }}$ |
| :---: |



| Turbidity <br> NIU | 2.3 | 0.9 | 60.9 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 75.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Suspended <br> solids <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Comments | Brine at well inlet | Brine at well outlet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

STABILITY OF SOLIDS LEVEL OF BRINE AT SURFACE FOR PERIOD OF ONE CIRCULATION

WELL 31/3-1

| Date | 19.9 .83 |  |  | 20.9.83-21.9.83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time hrs | 1945 | 2130 |  | 2255 | 0015 |  |
| Sample | Filter | atlet | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | Storage Tank |  | Change |
| Particle <br> dia. d <br> microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 3128 | 3131 | 0.1 | 6872 | 6709 | 2.4 |
| 2.5 | 982 | 1072 | 9.2 | 2530 | 2186 | 13.6 |
| 3.0 | 426 | 398 | 6.6 | 971 | 833 | 14.2 |
| 4.0 | 142 | 116 | 18.3 | 354 | 325 | 8.2 |
| 5.0 | 49 | 40 | 18.4 | 195 | 154 | 21.0 |
| 7.5 | 12 | 8 | 33.3 | 39 | 34 | 14.7 |
| 10.0 | 1 | 0 |  | 22 | 19 | 13.6 |
| 15.0 | 0 | 0 |  | 10 | 8 | 20 |


| Pump Rate <br> BPM |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |



| Turbidity <br> NHU |  |  |  | 1.9 | 2.0 | 5.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Suspended <br> solids <br> mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Comments |  |
| :--- | :--- |

TABLE 4.11
BRINE CIRCULATION PRIOR TO PERFORATION
FOR TEST IN 1373M TO 1383M ZONE
WELL 31/3-1

| Date | 24.9 .83 | 24.9 .83 | 24.9 .83 | 24.9 .83 | 24.9 .83 | 24.9 .83 | 25.9 .83 | 25.9 .83 | 25.9 .83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tlme hrs | 1931 | 2000 | 2045 | 2130 | 2230 | 2330 | 0000 | 0030 | 0100 |
| Particle dia. d microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 18133 | 19924 | 17306 | 12265 | 11880 | 12197 | 6662 | 6135 | 6208 |
| 2.5 | 9533 | 7678 | 7028 | 5335 | 3779 | 2613 | 1433 | 1704 | 1712 |
| 3.0 | 4256 | 2607 | 2463 | 2101 | 1168 | 720 | 438 | 577 | 546 |
| 4.0 | 1647 | 878 | 640 | 652 | 343 | 195 | 145 | 171 | 201 |
| 5.0 | 805 |  | 210 | 318 | 127 | 96 | 53 | 76 | 62 |
| 7.5 |  | 387 | 34 | 86 | 31 | 17 | 10 | 14 | 9 |
| 10.0 |  | 86 | 14 | 53 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| 15.0 |  | 33 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 20.0 |  | 10 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Dissolved <br> Iron <br> ppm |
| :--- |
| Total <br> Iron <br> ppm |


| Turbidity <br> NTU | 6.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## 1. PECO FILTER SKIDS

2 pods per skid.
Manufacturer:
Media:
Length:

Ratings of filter type used and product code

Recommended Flow Rate
Recammended $\Delta \mathrm{p}$ for charge of filters
2. PALL FILITER SKIDS

2 pods per skid.
Marufacturer:
Media:

Length:
Product Code:
Rating in virgin
state of AB4LC4:

Recommended Flow
Rate
Recommended $\Delta \mathrm{p}$ for change of filters

18 filters per pod.
Hytrex
Polypropylene
36? inches

5 microns nominal (GX 05-336C)

5 bpm per pod containing 18 filters
20 psi

32 filters per pod.
Pall
Resin impregnated cellulose (Epocel type)

40 Inches
AB4LC4
10 microns absolute
99\% © 5 microns
90\% @ 2 microns
2 bpm per pod containing
32 filters
40 psi
TABLE 4.14
FILIER PERFORMANCE
PARTICLE CDUNTS, TURBIDITY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS

| Date | 9.9 .83 |  |  | 9.9 .83 |  |  | 11.9 .83 |  |  | 11.9 .83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time hrs | 0720 |  |  | 0800 |  |  | 0545 |  |  | 0925 |  |  |
|  | Filter Inlet | Filter | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Eff} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outle | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter | Eff | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Sample <br> Particle dia. d microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 17485 | 1144 | 93.5 | 17373 | 2334 | 86.6 | 20561 | 963 | 95.3 | 13192 | 615 | 95.3 |
| 2.5 | 11416 | 309 | 97.3 | 12855 | 551 | 95.7 | 17965 | 271 | 98.5 | 6944 | 131 | 98.1 |
| 3.0 | 6260 | 130 | 97.9 | 7787 | 484 | 93.8 | 13205 | 150 | 98.9 | 3311 | 49 | 98.5 |
| 4.0 | 2235 | 409 | 81.7 | 3009 | 28 | 99.1 | 4011 | 49 | 98.8 | 1493 | 25 | 98.3 |
| 5.0 | 961 | 26 | 97.3 | 1291 | 15 | 98.8 | 909 | 26 | 97.1 | 704 | 11 | 98.4 |
| 7.5 | 162 | 21 | 87.0 | 221 | 7 | 96.8 | 57 | 19 | 66.6 | 152 | 2 | 98.7 |
| 10.0 | 64 | 11 | 82.8 | 75 | 4 | 94.7 | 12 | 14 | - | 57 | 0 | 100 |
| 15.0 | 37 | 7 | 81.1 | 28 | 3 | 89.3 | 3 | 9 | - | 7 | 0 | 100 |



| Filters <br> in <br> use | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> 1Ou absolute Pall | 5u naminal Hytrex <br> lou absolute Pall | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> 10u absolute Pall | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> 10u absolute Pall |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Turbidity <br> NTU | 18 | 0.8 | 95.6 | 21 | 0.7 | 96.7 | 15 | 1.5 | 90 | 6.4 | 0.4 | 93.8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Filtering brine whilst pumping from supply boat to Dowell storage tanks on Deep Sea Bergen.

Comments



| Filters <br> in <br> use | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> lou absolute Pall | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> lou absolute Pall | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> lou absolute Pall | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> 10u absolute Pall |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Turbidity NTU | 2.9 | 0.42 | 85.5 | 16 | 1.0 | 93.8 | 36 | 8.4 | 76.7 | 9.8 | 0.58 | 94.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



## TABLE 4.16

FILTER PERFORMANCE
PARTICLE CDUNTS, TURBIDITY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS
WELU 31/3-1

| Date | 16.9 .83 |  |  | 16.9 .83 |  |  | 17.9 .83 |  |  | 18.9 .83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time hrs | 1350 |  |  | 1845 |  |  | 2315 |  |  | 1845 |  |  |
| Sample | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Eff} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ```Particle dia. d microns``` | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 16674 | 1082 | 93.5 | 21322 | 541 | 97.5 | 18287 | 10756 | 41 | 17549 | 8348 | 52.4 |
| 2.5 | 7160 | 88 | 98.8 | 18913 | 117 | 99.4 | 16755 | 554 | 96.7 | 16613 | 2387 | 85.6 |
| 3.0 | 2876 | 38 | 98.7 | 14416 | 38 | 99.7 | 11384 | 162 | 98.6 | 15224 | 771 | 94.9 |
| 4.0 | 1108 | 12 | 98.9 | 4702 | 8 | 99.8 | 5631 | 50 | 99.0 | 9370 | 244 | 97.4 |
| 5.0 | 496 | 7 | 98.6 | 1496 | 6 | 99.6 | 2829 | 17 | 99.4 | 5388 | 117 | 97.8 |
| 7.5 | 104 | 1 | 99.0 | 148 | 0 | 100 | 481 | 4 | 99.1 | 1029 | 16 | 98.4 |
| 10.0 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 77 | 0 | 100 | 135 | 1 | 99.3 | 353 | 3 | 99.2 |
| 15.0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 44 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 0 | 100 | 37 | 2 | 94.6 |


| Pump Rate BPM | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Filters <br> in <br> use | 5u naminal Hytrex <br> 10u absolute Pall | 5u naminal Hytrex <br> lou absolute Pall | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> lou absolute Pall | 10u absolute Pall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Turbidity } \\ \text { NIU }\end{array}$ | 3.6 | 0.29 | 91.9 |  |  |  | 24 | 0.42 | 98.3 | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Comments | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Ciralatine brine } \\ \text { through well. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Fransfering brine from } \\ \text { supply boat. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Ciroulating brine } \\ \text { through well. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Circulating brine } \\ \text { through well. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { Filters } & \text { 5u nominal Hytre }
\end{array}
$$

luse

$$
\begin{array}{|l|r}
\hline \text { indters } & \text { lou absolute Pall } \\
\text { in } &
\end{array}
$$

TABLE 4.17
FIUTER PERFORMANCE
PARTICLE ©OUNTS, TURBIDITY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS

## WELL 31/3-1

| Date | 18.9 .83 |  |  | 19.9 .83 |  |  | 19.9 .83 |  |  | 20.9 .83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time hrs | 2100 |  |  | 1820 |  |  | 2115 |  |  | 1830 |  |  |
|  | Filter | Filter | $\underset{\%}{\text { Eff }}$ | Filter | Filter | Eff | Filter | Filter | Eff | Filter Inlet | Filter | Eff |
| $\frac{\text { Sample }}{\text { Partic }}$ | Inlet | Outlet | \% | Inlet | Outlet | \% | Inlet | Outlet | $\%$ | Inlet | Out1et |  |
| dia. d microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 16989 | 12585 | 25.9 | 19191 | 3213 | 83.3 | 18015 | 3381 | 81.2 | 16965 | 1905 | 88.8 |
| 2.5 | 15417 | 2210 | 85.7 | 11490 | 1059 | 90.8 | 10941 | 875 | 92.0 | 11948 | 326 | 97.3 |
| 3.0 | 14257 | 855 | 94.0 | 5307 | 453 | 91.5 | 5548 | 265 | 95.2 | 7418 | 129 | 98.3 |
| 4.0 | 7932 | 292 | 96.3 | 2025 | 145 | 92.8 | 2173 | 70 | 96.8 | 3464 | 36 | 99.0 |
| 5.0 | 4211 | 130 | 96.9 | 1002 | 42 | 95.8 | 1000 | 13 | 98.7 | 1900 | 12 | 99.4 |
| 7.5 | 982 | 32 | 96.7 | 221 | 8 | 96.4 | 230 | 1 | 99.6 | 444 | 4 | 99.1 |
| 10.0 | 344 | 15 | 96.7 | 77 | 1 | 98.7 | 86 | 0 | 100 | 144 | 0 | 100 |
| 15.0 | 72 | 3 | 95.6 | 19 | 0 | 100 | 26 | 0 | 100 | 39 | 0 | 100 |


| Pump Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l||c||c||}
\hline \text { Filters } & 5 u \text { nominal Hytrex } & \text { 5u nominal Hytrex } & \text { 5u nominal Hytrex }
\end{array}
$$

| Turbidity <br> NIU | 32 | 3.4 | 89.4 | 18 | 2.8 | 84.4 | 19 | 4.1 | 78.4 | 18 | 2.6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Comments | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Ciroulating brine } \\ \text { through well. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Ciroulating brine } \\ \text { through well. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Circulating brine } \\ \text { through well. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Circulating brine } \\ \text { through well. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

PARTICLE COUNTS, TURBIDITY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS

| Date | 20.9 .83 |  |  | 20.9 .83 |  |  | 24.9 .83 |  |  | 24.9 .83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time hrs | 2055 |  |  | 2145 |  |  | 1750 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
| Sample | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Eff} \\ \% \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter <br> Inlet | Filter Outlet | Eff | Filter <br> Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Eff} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Particle dia. d microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 21478 | 2090 | 90.3 | 22160 | 21121 | 77.2 | 19504 | 21968 | - | 21500 | 16800 | 21.9 |
| 2.5 | 18311 | 710 | 96.1 | 17959 | 17683 | 90.7 | 15528 | 15095 | 2.8 | 10200 | 3183 | 68.8 |
| 3.0 | 12520 | 236 | 98.1 | 11883 | 11358 | 94.8 | 9113 | 5373 | 41.0 | 3280 | 672 | 79.5 |
| 4.0 | 4094 | 63 | 98.5 | 3770 | 3148 | 93.9 | 3821 | 1545 | 59.6 | 856 | 169 | 80.3 |
| 5.0 | 1289 | 39 | 97.0 | 1166 | 933 | 91.6 | 1731 | 494 | 71.5 | 290 | 61 | 79.0 |
| 7.5 | 140 | 12 | 91.4 | 217 | 108 | 73.3 | 410 | 49 | 88.0 | 70 | 8 | 88.6 |
| 10.0 | 36 | 8 | 77.8 | 130 | 59 | 67.7 | 134 | 23 | 82.8 |  |  |  |
| 15.0 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 81 | 48 | 65.4 | 32 | 7 | 78.1 |  |  |  |

 Finters in
use use

| Turbidity <br> NIU | 16 | 1.7 | 89.4 | 17 | 2.2 | 87.1 |  |  |  | 6.8 | 2.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

IV
solids
$\mathrm{mg} / 1$

保

Filtering whilst $\quad$ Filtering whilst
Filtering whinst supply boat.
Comments
TABLE 4.19
FILTER PERFORMANCE
PARTICLE COUNTS, TURBIDIIY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS

| Date | 27.9 .83 |  |  | 27.9 .83 |  |  | 28.9 .83 |  |  | 28.9 .83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time hrs | 0220 |  |  | 0520 |  |  | 0730 |  |  | 1500 |  |  |
| Sample | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Filter } \\ & \text { Inlet } \end{aligned}$ | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Eff} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Eff} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Particle dia. d microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 17673 | 1117 | 93.7 | 17851 | 1378 | 92.2 | 17848 | 8830 | 53.6 | 20290 | 20606 | - |
| 2.5 |  | 195 |  | 15028 | 371 | 97.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0 | 17344 | 95 | 99.1 | 11823 | 190 | 98.4 | 16580 | 590 | 93.7 | 4403 | 4277 | 2.9 |
| 4.0 | 11960 | 35 | 99.7 | 6158 | 78 | 98.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0 | 6930 | 24 | 99.6 | 3543 | 43 | 98.8 | 6291 | 194 | 91.2 | 756 | 512 | 32.3 |
| 7.5 | 1394 | 2 | 98.8 | 1052 | 12 | 98.9 | 1459 | 103 | 95.3 | 313 | 110 | 64.9 |
| 10.0 | 515 | 1 | 97.8 | 399 | 1 | 99.7 | 591 | 75 | 74.8 | 211 | 40 | 81.0 |
| 15.0 |  |  |  | 51 | 0 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Filters <br> in <br> use | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> 1Ou absolute Pall | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> lou absolute Pall | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> lou absolute Pall | 5u nominal Hytrex <br> 1Ou absolute Pall |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Turbidity <br> NIU | 23.5 | 0.37 | 98.4 | 6.9 | 0.84 | 87.8 | 32 | 0.37 | 98.8 | 10 | 4.8 | 52.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Circulating brine through well

| Comments | Circulating brine through well |
| :--- | :--- |

$\square$ TABLE 4.20

## FILIER PERFORMANCE <br> PARTICLE OOUNTS, TURBIDITY, SUSPENDED SOLIDS

WELL 31/3-1

| Date | 19.9 .83 |  |  | 20.9 .83 |  |  | 27.9 .83 |  |  | 28.9 .83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time hrs | 2115 |  |  | 2055 |  |  | 0220 |  |  | 0733 |  |  |
| Sample | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Eff} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Filter Inlet | Filter Outlet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eff } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Particle dia. d microns | Number of Particles $\geqslant \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{m}$ (microns) in 0.05 ml |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 18015 | 16849 | 6.5 | 21478 | 13147 | 38.8 | 17673 | 15034 | 14.9 | 17848 | 19023 |  |
| 2.5 | 10941 | 8187 | 25.2 | 18311 | 5628 | 69.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0 | 5548 | 3075 | 44.6 | 12520 | 2534 | 79.8 | 17344 | 1036 | 94.0 | 16580 | 9398 | 43.3 |
| 4.0 | 2173 | 1047 | 51.8 | 4094 | 935 | 77.2 | 11960 | 286 | 97.6 |  |  |  |
| 5.0 | 1000 | 403 | 59.7 | 1289 | 411 | 68.1 | 6930 | 129 | 98.1 | 6291 | 2210 | 64.9 |
| 7.5 | 230 | 42 | 81.7 | 140 | 70 | 50.0 | 1394 | 16 | 98.8 | 2198 | 1459 | 33.6 |
| 10.0 | 86 | 9 | 89.5 | 36 | 32 | 9.0 | 515 | 5 | 99.0 | 591 | 298 | 49.6 |
| 15.0 | 26 | 1 | 96.2 | 13 | 1 | 92.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Filters } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { use }\end{array}$ | 5 u nominal Hytrex | 5 u naminal Hytrex | 5 nominal Hytrex | 5 unominal Hytrex |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



 - Clralating brinemond pilteringwaterwilst circulating bine | Comments | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Circulating brine } \\ \text { through well }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { TABLE } 4.21 \\
\text { QUALITY OF BRINE FROM THE SUPPLY BOATS }
\end{gathered}
$$


$\square$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | I | 7 | 5 | $\varepsilon$ | 2 | $6 \tau$ | $G$ | 01 | 工 | I | L | ［ | I | 0.51 |
| 02 | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | 6 | 9 | $L$ | GE | 21 | 22 | EI | 5 | 22 | OT | 9 | $0 \cdot 01$ |
| 52 | OL | ST | 62 | $6 \varepsilon$ | LI | 06 | 61 | $6 \varepsilon$ | t2 | 02 | 2IT | $0 \varepsilon$ | SI | $5^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ |
| 98 | 85 | пट | 89 | I8 | 18 | 22E | L2T | $56 T$ | hoz | $\varepsilon 9$ | LL9 | T8T | ［ह］ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$ |
|  | 621 | LS | 561 | HLT | 081 | 097 | S\＆2 | 758 | ［97 | 221 | EOST | 768 | LLZ | $0 \cdot 7$ |
| 288 | $\varepsilon 8 \varepsilon$ | 281 | LTS | L95 | LLS | दع6 | 819 | TL6 | SEOT | 189 | $\varepsilon \tau 6 \varepsilon$ | 22IT | $0 \varepsilon L$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ |
|  | 826 | と切 | \＃SEI | 加加 | LSET | T661 | 2LST | OEG2 | ELट2 | 9661 | 02E8 | 0652 | 2 T 9 L | $S^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $280 \pi$ | 228ट | T08L | $00 力$ ¢ | 026ع | 8TL9 | ETSTH | Itge | 2L89 | E2SS | 9657 | 9］L9］ | LT99 | 78LE | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Tu $50^{\circ} 0$ | （suous | Fw）urd p | p ＜səтoт | Frued Jo | dequmn |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { suodoţu } \\ \text { p•efp } \\ \text { ә[offed } \end{gathered}$ |
| $006 \tau$ | 02IT | 0702 | S2SI | O2IL | 0075 | S¢عट | 0टE2 | Ģ22 | ［ 5050 | 0860 | 5780 | 0050 | 0050 | SuU $\partial \mathrm{H}$ |
| 88．6．62 | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{G己}$ | E8＊6＊${ }^{\circ}$ | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ}+\mathrm{L}$ | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{t}$ 圷 | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ 圷 | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} 02$ | $\varepsilon 8^{\circ} 6^{\circ} 02$ | E8＊ $6^{\circ} 02$ | $188^{\circ} 6^{\circ} \mathrm{TL}$ | $\varepsilon 8 \cdot 6 \cdot 6$ | $\varepsilon 8 \cdot 6 \cdot 6$ | 18．6．6 | $\varepsilon 8 \cdot 6 \cdot 6$ | 27ed |


| Dissolved Iron ppm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Total Iron ppm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Turbidity } \\ & \text { NIU } \end{aligned}$ | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.56 | 0.58 |



## QUALITY OF BRINE IN DOWEL STORAGE TANKS AFIER FILITERING <br> WHILST TRANSFERRING BRINE FROM SUPPLY BOAT

WELL 31／3－1

