CORE LABORATORIES UK LTD. 'ETROLEUM RESERVOIR ENGINEERING ABERDEEN, SCOTLAND

RESERVOIR FLUID STUDY

for

STATOIL A/S

Well: 34/10-5 North Sea, Norway.

CORE LABORATORIES UK LTD. PETROLEUM RESERVOIR ENGINEERING ABERDEEN, SCOTLAND

28th June, 1980.

Statoil A/S P.O. Box 300, 4001, Stavanger, Norway.

Attention: Mr. Per Thomassen.

Subjedt: Reservoir Fluid Study Well: 34/10-5 North Sea, Norway. Our File Number: RFLA: 80016

Gentlemen:

A fluid sample from the subject well was sent to our laboratory in Aberdeen for use in a Reservoir Fluid Study. The results of this study are presented in the following report.

A portion of the reservoir fluid was examined in a high pressure visual cell at the reported reservoir temperature of 73.7°C. During a constant composition expansion at this temperature a bubble point of 223.25 Bar G was observed. The results of the pressure/ volume relations are found on page three and the associated compressibility data is tabulated on page two.

A differential vaporization was then performed at the reservoir temperature of 73.7 °C. During the differential pressure depletion the fluid evolved a total of 87.45 standard cubic metres of gas per barrel of residual oil at 15 °C. The resulting relative oil volume factor was 1.238 cubic metres of saturated oil per cubic metre of residual oil at 15 °C. The oil density and the properties of the evolved gases were measured at each point during the differential pressure depletion and these data are included in the summary of the differential depletion data on page four.

The viscosity of the reservoir fluid was measured over a wide range of pressures at 73.7°C. in a rolling ball viscosimeter. The viscosity of the fluid was found to vary from a minimum of 0.00115 pascal/second at the saturation pressure to a maximum of 0.00338 pascal/second at atmospheric pressure. The results of the viscosity measurement are tabulated on page seven, and graphically represented on page eight.

Continued/....

CORE LABORATORIES UK LTD. PETROLEUM RESERVOIR ENGINEERING ABERDEEN, SCOTLAND

Continued/.....

Page Two.

A zero Bar G. separator test was then performed at 20° C. The results of this test are presented on page nine. The associated gas and liquid from this separator test were analysed for hydrocarbon composition. The gas was analysed using chromatographic procedures to undecanes plus and this data is presented on page ten. The liquid sample was analysed for hydrocarbon composition by fractional distillation apparatus and the results of this test in terms of both mol percent and weight percent is presented on page eleven.

The composition of the reservoir fluid was then calculated utilizing the separator compositions and the gas/oil ratio. This composition may be found on page twelve.

As always, it is a pleasure to be of service to Statoil A/S. Should any questions arise concerning this study, please do not hesitate to contact us.

> Very truly yours Core Laboratories U.K. Limited

John D. Quer

JDO/rmb: 10cc/Addressee: John D. Owen. Manager-RFL

Page1 of12

File RFLA 80016

CompanyStatoil	Date Sampled
Well	County North Sea
Field	StateNorway

FORMATION CHARACTERISTICS

Formation Name	
Date First Well Completed	19
Original Reservoir Pressure	Ft.
Original Produced Gas-Liquid Ratio	SCF/Bbl
Production Rate	Bbl/Day
Separator Pressure and Temperature	º F.
Oil Gravity at 60°F.	• API
Datum	Ft. Subsea
Original Gas Cap	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

WELL CHARACTERISTICS

Elevation	Ft.
Total Depth	Ft.
Producing Interval	Ft.
Tubing Size and Depth	Ft.
Productivity Index	Bbl/D/PSI @Bbl/Day
Last Reservoir Pressure	PSIG @Ft.
Date	, 19
Reservoir Temperature	
Status of Well	
Pressure Gauge	
Normal Production Rate	Bbl/Day
Gas-Oil Ratio	SCF/Bbl
Separator Pressure and Temperature	° F.
Base Pressure	PSIA
Well Making Water	% Cut

SAMPLING CONDITIONS

Sampled at	Ft.
Status of Well	
Gas-Oil Ratio	SCF/Bbl
Separator Pressure and Temperature	PSIGº F.
Tubing Pressure	PSIG
Casing Pressure	PSIG
Sampled by	
Type Sampler	

REMARKS:

Received cylinder 20475.67

Page	2 of12
File	RFLA 80016
Well	34/10-5

VOLUMETRIC DATA OF .Reservoir Fluid ... SAMPLE

1.	Saturation pressure (bubble-point pressure)	<u>223.25BAR G @ 73.7°C</u>
2.	Specific volume at saturation pressure : L/Kg	1.3192@73.7°C
3.	Thermal expansion of saturated oil @ .344.74Bar $c = \frac{V @ 73.7^{\circ}C}{V @ 17 \circ C}$	-= 1.04572
4.	Compressibility of saturated oil @ reservoir temperature : Vol/Vol/PSI :	
	From 344.74. BARG to 310,26	$5BARG =7, 46 \times 10^{-6}$

From 344.74.BARG to 310.26BARG = .7.46.x.10. From 310.26.BARG to 275.79BARG = .7.86.x.10. From 275.79.BARG to 241.32BARG = .8.21.x.10^{-6} From 241.32 BAR G to 223.25 BAR G = 9.28 x 10^{-6}

e analyses, opinions or interpretations are based on observations and material supplied by the client to whom, and for whose exclusive and contial use, this report is made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the best judgement of Core Laboratories, Inc. (all errors and sions excepted); but Core Laboratories, Inc. and its officers and employees, assume no responsibility and make no warranty or representations to the productivity, proper operation, or profitableness of any oil, gas or other mineral well or sand in connection with which such report is used lied upon.

Page	3 of12
File	RFLA 80016
Well	34/10-5

Pressure-Volume Relations at .7.3.7.°.C

Pressure		Relative	Y
BAR G	2010	Volume (1)	Function (2)
344.74		0.9859	
310.26		0.9896	
275.79		0.9935	
241.32		0.9976	
234.42		0.9985	
227.53		0.9994	
223.25	Saturation	1.0000	
219.25	pressure	1.0041	4.462
207.67		1.0170	4.393
187.95		1.0438	4.260
166.03		1.0837	4.092
145.07		1.1361	3.933
123.07		1.2151	3.754
103.90		1.3191	3.564
84.81		1.4755	3.392
68.40		1.6931	3.218
56.61		1.9328	3.099
47.64		2.1994	3.008
36.27		2.7347	2.890
28.20		3.3761	2.808

(1) Relative Volume : V/Vsat is barrels at indicated pressure per barrel at saturation pressure.

(2) Y Function = $\frac{(Psat-P)}{(Pabs)(V/Vsat-1)}$

8

e analyses, opinions or interpretations are based on observations and material supplied by the client to whom, and for whose exclusive and contial use, this report is made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the best judgement of Core Laboratories, Inc. (all errors and sions excepted); but Core Laboratories, Inc. and its officers and employees, assume no responsibility and make no warranty or representations) the productivity, proper operation, or profitableness of any oil, gas or other mineral well or sand in connection with which such report is used slied upon.

				Petroleum Reservoir ABERDEEN, SC	Engineering COTLAND		Page4 FileRFIA Well34/1	. of ¹² 80016 0 - 5
			Dif	ferential Vaporizatio	nat 73.7°C			
	Pressure BAR G	Solution Gas/Oil Ratio (1)	Relative Oil Volume (2)	Relative Total Volume (3)	Oil Density Kgm/m ³	Deviation Factor Z	Gas Formation Volume Factor (4)	Incremental Gas Gravity
	223.25	87.45	1.238	1.238	0.7697			
	206.84	80.50	1.224	1.260	0.7740	0。884	0.00519	0.661
	179.26	69.64	1.200	1.308	0.7815	0.894	0.00604	0.638
	151.68	58.78	1.179	1.385	0.7897	0,905	0.00722	0.625
	124.11	48.62	1.157	1.504	0.7975	0.916	0.00894	0.621
	96.53	38.47	1.136	1.705	0.8054	0.928	0.01161	0.623
	68.95	28.14	1.115	2.087	0.8136	0.943	0.01642	0.628
	41.37	17.99	1.095	3.012	0.8216	0.961	0.02760	0.653
	13.79	6.41	1.068	7 • 634	0.8328	0.984	0.08103	0.707
	0.00	0,00	1.046		0.8432			0.939
		At 15°	'C = 1.000					
Grav	ity of residual oil=28	8 <u>.</u> 9 API @	ۇ 15°C					
6	Cubic metres of	f œas at 1,013	RAR Absolute a	nd 15°C ner har	ral of residu	al oil at 1500		
(5)	Cubic metres of	f oil at indic	ated pressure a	nd temperature	per cubic metr	ar Uil ac 10 C. re of residual	oil at 15°C.	
(E)	Cubic metres of	f'oil plus lib	erated gas at i	ndicated pressu	re and tempera	ature per cubic	metre of residu	al oil at 15°C.
(†).	Cubic metres of	f gas at indic	ated pressure a	nd temperature	per cubic metr	re at 1.013 BAR	Absolute and 15	۰۵.
	These ana fidential u	alyses, opinions or inter use, this report is ma	rpretations are based on ide. The interpretations (observations and materia or opinions expressed re	al supplied by the clie present the best fuder	ant to whom, and for w ement of Core Laborator	hose exclusive and con- ter Inc. (all errors and	
	omissions as to the or relied t	excepted) ; but Core productivity, proper of upon.	Laboratories, Inc. and peration, or profitableness	its officers and emloyees s of any oil, gas or other	s, assume no responsil r mineral well or sand	bility and make no ward d in connection with wh	res, and, can errors and ranty or representations ifch such report is used	

Page <u>5</u> of 12 File RFLA 80016



Pressure: BAR G

Pago	6	oi <u>12</u>
File_	RFLA	80016

Differential Vaporization of Reservoir Fluid at 73.7°C



Page	7 of12
File	RFLA 80016
Well	34/10-5

Viscosity Data at 73.7°C

Pressure BAR G		Oil Viscosity Pasc al - Second	Calculated Gas Viscosity Pascal - Second	Oil/Gas Viscosity Ratio
344.74		0.00124		
310.26		0.00121		
275.79		0.00118		
241.32		0.00116		
223.25	Saturation	0.00115		
206.84	pressure	0.00120	0.0000203	59.11
179.26		0.00129	0.0000185	69.73
151.68		0.00141	0.0000170	82.94
124.11		0.00156	0.0000159	98.11
96.53		0.00175	0.0000149	117.45
68.95		0.00199	0.0000141	141.13
41.37		0.00226	0.0000133	169.92
13.79		0.00261	0.0000125	208.80
0.00		0.00338		

ese analyses, opinions or interpretations are based on observations and material supplied by the client to whom, and for whose exclusive and conential use, this report is made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the best judgement of Core Laboratories, Inc. (all errors and issions excepted); but Core Laboratories, Inc. and its officers and employees, assume no responsibility and make no warranty or representations to the productivity, proper operation, or profitableness of any oil, gas or other mineral well or sand in connection with which such report is used relied upon.

Petroleum Reservoir Engineering ABERDEEN, SCOTLAND

Page <u>8 of 12</u> File <u>RFLA 80016</u>

Viscosity of Reservoir Fluid at 73.7°C



Page9 of12 FileRFLA 80016 Well34/10-5

SEPARATOR TESTS OF .. RESERVOIR .FLUID SAMPLE

SEPARATOR PRESSURE Bar G	SEPARATOR TEMPERATURE C.	Separator GAS/OIL RATIO (1)	Stock Tank GAS/OIL RATIO (1)	STOCK TANK Gravity * Api @ 60° f.	Shrinkage FACTOR (2)	Formation VOLUME FACTOR (3)	SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF FLASHED GAS
0	20	89.4		28.6	0.801	1.248	0.672

- (1) Gas/oil ratio in cubic metres of gas at 15^oC. and 1.013 Bar Absolute per cubic metre of oil at 15^oC.
- (2) Shrinkage factor : Vr/Vsat is cubic metres of stock tank oil at 15°C. per cubic metre of saturated oil at 223.25 Bar G and 73.7°C.
- (3) Formation Volume Factor is cubic metres of saturated oil at 223.25 Bar G and 73.7°C. per cubic metre of stock tank oil at 15°C.

These analyses, opinions or interpretations are based on observations and material supplied by the client to whom, and for whose exclusive and confidential use, this report is made. The interpretations or opinions, expressed represent the best judgement of Core Laboratories, Inc. (all errors and omissions excepted); but Core Laboratories, Inc. and its officers and employees, assume no responsibility and make no warranty or representations as to the productivity, proper operation, or profitableness of any oil, gas or other mineral well or sand in connection with which such report is used or relied upon.

Page10 of12

File RFLA 80016

CompanySTATOIL	Date Sampled
Well	CountyNORTH.SEA
Field	State NORWAY

HYDROCARBON ANALYSIS OF STOCK TANK GAS SAMPLE

Component	Mol Percent	GPM
Hydrogen Sulfide	Nil	
Carbon Dioxide	0.91	
Nitrogen	1.10	
Methane	86.62	
Ethane	7.09	
Propane	1.20	0.329
iso-Butane	0.65	0.212
n-Butane	0.92	0.289
iso-Pentane	0.40	0.146
n-Pentane	0.26	0.094
Hexanes	0.39	0.159
Heptanes	0.36)
Octanes	0.08)
Nonanes	0.01) 0.208
Decanes	0.01)
Undecanes Plus)
	100.00	1.437
Calculated Gas Gravity =	0.672 (Air = 1.000)	
Calculated Gross heating value =	1153 BTU per cubic foot	of dry gas at
	14.73 psia and 60 De	g F.

Collected at	0	Bar G	
and	20	Deg. C.	

These analyses, opinions or interpretations are based on observations and material supplied by the client to whom, and for whose exclusive and confidential use, this report is made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the best judgement of Core Laboratories, Inc. (all errors and omissions excepted); but Core Laboratories, Inc. and its officers and employees, assume no responsibility and make no warranty or representations as to the productivity, proper operation, or profitableness of any oil, gas or other mineral well or sand in connection with which such report is used or relied upon.

Page11 of12

File RFLA 80016

Company STATOIL	Date Sampled
Well	CountyNORTH. SEA
Field	StateNORWAX

COMPONENT	MOL Percent	WEIGHT Percent	DENSITY @ 60° F. Grams per cubic Centimeter	° API @ 60° F.	MOLECULAR WEIGHT
Hydrogen Sulfide	Nil	NII			
Carbon Dioxide	0.01	Trace			
Nitrogen	Ni1	Ni1			
Methane	0.52	0.03			
Ethane	0.26	0.03			
Propane	0.16	0.03			
iso-Butane	0.22	0.05			
n-Butane	0.46	0.11			
iso-Pentane	0.54	0.16			
n-Pentane	0.46	0.14			
Hexanes	1.32	0.47			
Heptanes plus	96.05	98.98	0.8863	28.0	248
	100.00	100.00			

These analyses, opinions or interpretations are based on observations and material supplied by the client to whom, and for whose exclusive and conidential use, this report is made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the best judgement of Core Laboratories, Inc. (all errors and omissions excepted); but Core Laboratories, Inc. and its officers and employees, assume no responsibility and make no warranty or representations is to the productivity, proper operation, or profitableness of any oil, gas or other mineral well or sand in connection with which such report is used or relied upon.

Page12 of12

File RFLA 80016

Company STATOIL	Date Sampled
Well	CountyNORTH.SEA
Field	StateNORWAY
	CALCULATED

HYDROCARBON ANALYSIS OF WELLSTREAM GAS SAMPLE

Component	Mol Percent	

• -

Hydrogen Sulfide	Nil
Carbon Dioxide	0.47
Nitrogen	0.56
Methane	44.32
Ethane	3.73
Propane	0.69
iso-Butane	0.44
n-Butane	0.69
iso-Pentane	0.47
n-Pentane	0.36
Hexanes	0.85
Heptanes plus	47.42
	100.00

Core Laboratories U.K. Limited Reservoir Fluid Analysis.

John D. Owen

John D. Owen. Manager-RFL

These analyses, opinions or interpretations are based on observations and material supplied by the client to whom, and for whose exclusive and confidential use, this report is made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the best judgement of Core Laboratories, Inc. (all errors and omissions excepted); but Core Laboratories, Inc. and its officers and employees, assume no responsibility and make no warranty or representations as to the productivity, proper operation, or profitableness of any oil, gas or other mineral well or sand in connection with which such report is used or relied upon.